

To: CN=Jayne Carlin/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
Cc: CN=Alan Henning/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Helen Rueda/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Helen Rueda/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
From: CN=Jennifer Wu/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Wed 11/28/2012 4:46:38 PM
Subject: Re: DUE BY FRIDAY: Comments on (11-27) Version of EPA/NOAA's Initial Written Assessment under CZARA Settlement Agreement

Hi - BMPs and/or the approach to choosing a suite of BMPs needs to be included in either the TMDL or the WQMP. I think Nina wants them to be in the TMDL and not the WQMP, and DEQ hasn't decided. At this point, the surest way to make the BMPs enforceable is to put them into the TMDL in LA form and describe the approach in there, so let's keep the language that Alan put together.

Thanks!
Jenny Wu
U.S. EPA Region 10
Watershed Unit
1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900 (OWW-134)
Seattle, WA 98101
206-553-6328 (phone)
206-553-0165 (fax)

<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>

From: Jayne Carlin/R10/USEPA/US
To: Alan Henning/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Jennifer Wu/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Helen Rueda/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 11/27/2012 05:00 PM
Subject: Re: DUE BY FRIDAY: Comments on (11-27) Version of EPA/NOAA's Initial Written Assessment under CZARA Settlement Agreement

I agree with you. Jenny or Helen?

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit
US EPA, Region 10
1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-8512, (206) 553-0165 (fax)
carlin.jayne@epa.gov

<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>

From: Alan Henning/R10/USEPA/US
To: Jayne Carlin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Jennifer Wu/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Date: 11/27/2012 04:59 PM
Subject: Re: DUE BY FRIDAY: Comments on (11-27) Version of EPA/NOAA's Initial Written Assessment under CZARA Settlement Agreement

Jayne,

I reviewed the letter. I don't have any comments on the most recent draft. There were a couple of points where I was asked to confirm a particular point I added. (See AC15) This addressed the issue of including or not including DMA established BMPs in the TMDL. I suggested the BMPs need to be in the TMDL otherwise the TMDL would be very similar to typical TMDLs. What do you and Jenny think of this statement? I believe it is true but your thoughts would be appreciated.

Alan Henning, R.S.

Environmental Protection Specialist

Watershed Unit,

Office of Waters and Watershed, EPA Region 10

165 East 7th Avenue, Suite 100

Eugene, Oregon 97401

541-687-7360

Henning.Alan@epa.gov

From: Jayne Carlin/R10/USEPA/US

To: Alan Henning/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, David Croxton/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Don Wayne/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Helen Rueda/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Jennifer Wu/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Allison Castellan - NOAA Federal <allison.castellan@noaa.gov>, Kelly Gable/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, David Powers/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 11/27/2012 01:59 PM

Subject: DUE BY FRIDAY: Comments on (11-27) Version of EPA/NOAA's Initial Written Assessment under CZARA Settlement Agreement

Hi All,

See below and let me know if you DO NOT plan to review and provide comments.

Jayne

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit

US EPA, Region 10

1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134)

Seattle, WA 98101-3140

(206) 553-8512, (206) 553-0165 (fax)

carlin.jayne@epa.gov

<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>

----- Forwarded by Jayne Carlin/R10/USEPA/US on 11/27/2012 01:55 PM -----

From: Allison Castellan - NOAA Federal <allison.castellan@noaa.gov>

To: Jayne Carlin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,

Cc: Alan Henning/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, David Croxton/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, David Powers/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Don Wayne/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Helen Rueda/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Jennifer Wu/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Kelly Gable/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 11/27/2012 01:51 PM

Subject: Re: FOR TODAY's MEETING: Attorney's Comments Revised EPA/NOAA's Initial Written Assessment under CZARA Settlement Agreement (10 AM OR/WA time or 1 PM DC time)

Non-responsive

All--

Attached is the latest version of the letter and enclosure after the additional work by Jayne, Dave and me following our call. I've included a "clean" version (with minor edits I made after I reading through the clean version once more) as well as the (rather messy) tracked changes version if you want to see what specific edits were made and some of the thought processes behind them. Please share your final comments/edits with the group by noon Friday so that Jayne can consolidate. [attachment "EPA NOAA Assessment DP Dec 2012 11-26-12_ac.docx" deleted by Alan Henning/R10/USEPA/US] [attachment "EPA NOAA Assessment DP Dec 2012 11-26-12_ac_clean.docx" deleted by Alan Henning/R10/USEPA/US]
If possible, add you comments to someone else's to minimize the number of versions. We plan to have a final draft to share with our respective GC by Monday.

As you review/edit again, please keep in mind some common terminology/style issues to ensure consistency throughout out the document:

1. Refer to ODEQ as ODEQ, not DEQ
2. Mid-Coast sub-basin is hyphenated and capitalized as shown.
3. Landslide prone areas is not hyphenated (unless someone feels strongly about saying landslide-prone, in which case, please do a find and replace throughout.
4. The same would be true for "fish bearing" and "nonfish bearing" streams.
5. Refer to the Coastal Nonpoint Program (not CNPCP or CNP), except for the first mention in the letter which includes the full name.
6. The correct way to refer to the remaining "forestry condition" is "condition for additional management measures for forestry" OR "additional management measures for forestry condition". The settlement agreement states it incorrectly.
7. Use "management measures" (always spelled out, never abbv as MM) when referring to additional measures Oregon needs to develop to address its additional MM forestry condition and BMPs when referring to specific practices ODEQ needs to include in its IR-TMDLs.
8. To emphasize the Mid-Coast TMLD is not your typically TMDL (nor to we expect it to be), always refer to it as the Mid-Coast IR-TMDL.
9. Only include ONE space between sentences.

There may be a few others but these are the big ones I can think of right now.

Allison